

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,273	11/10/2003	Brian J. Brown	S63.2N-6769-US03	4909	
490 VIDAS ARRE	7590 02/13/2007 ETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A	EXAMINER			
6109 BLUE CI		BUI, VY Q			
SUITE 2000 MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINITE	11, 1411 333 13 7103	3734			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

					Y	WE			
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	,	,			
		10/705,273		BROWN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Vy Q. Bui		3734					
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified abo - Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ng date of this communication. It is the maximum statutory period aded period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS CON 136(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire Step, cause the application to the step of	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be tirr IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>11 N</u>	lovember 0205.							
2a) This action is FINAL.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance	with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are p	ending in the application	ı .							
4a) Of the above claim	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are re									
7) Claim(s) is/are			-						
8) Claim(s) are su	ibject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	nent.						
Application Papers			•						
9) ☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Examine	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	est that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sl	neet(s) including the correct n is objected to by the E								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c		n priority under 35 l	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f):					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the co	ertified copies of the pric	ority documents hav	ve been receive	ed in this National S	Stage				
• •	n the International Burea	•							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)		<u>"</u>	atomious Comence = :	(PTO 412)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTC Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 		F	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/03;	t(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· ==	Notice of Informal F Other:	Patent Application	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,273

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable at least over claims 39, 40, 46, 50 and 54 of copending Application No. 09/197,278. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both includes main structural limitations of the invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Conclusion

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3734